## **PRESENTER**



## Paul Collins, Shortland Chambers, Auckland

Paul has wide experience in areas of professional discipline and regulation in the legal profession and legal ethics. He has prosecuted numerous cases in the Disciplinary Tribunal and has also appeared in the High Court and Court of Appeal in cases relating to professional responsibility and other aspects of regulation of the legal profession. Paul lectures in the Legal Ethics course at the University of Auckland Law School. He was a convenor of the National Standards Committee until his nine year tenure expired and has been involved in Standards Committee training since the outset of the LCA. Paul is a contributing author to *Professional Responsibility in New Zealand* (LexisNexis) and was consulting editor for *The Laws of New Zealand: Lawyers and Conveyancers*.

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.



## **CONTENTS**

| 1. | INTRODUCTION  | 1              |
|----|---|----------------|
|    | WHAT IS THE DEFINITION OF A GOOD BILLING OUTCOME?   |                |
| 2. | THE IMPORTANT FIRST STEP: KNOWING YOUR CLIENT   | 5              |
|    | FEE-CHARGING INFORMATION IN ADVANCE AS THE FOUNDATION TO SUCCESSFUL BILLING OUTCOMES RULE 3.4(A), 3.4A(A) INFORMATION FOR CATEGORY ONE AND FOUR CLIENTS   |                |
| 3. | TRENDS IN BILLING AND BILLING PRACTICES   | , <u>9</u>     |
|    | TECHNOLOGY  | 9              |
| 4. | STRUCTURING FEES  | 11             |
|    | THE PREDOMINANT FEE FACTORS  FEE ESTIMATES  CONDITIONAL FEE AGREEMENTS  DEFERRED FEE-PAYING AGREEMENTS.  PAYMENTS IN ADVANCE IN PERFORMANCE OF THE AGREED LEGAL WORK.   | 12<br>13<br>14 |
| 5. | BAD FEE-CHARGING PRACTICES: WHAT NOT TO DO  | 17             |
|    | EARLY SUBSTANTIAL FEES WITHOUT REFERENCE TO THE CLIENT'S LONGER-TERM INTERESTS  | 17             |
| 6. | COMPLAINTS AND PROFESSIONAL DISCIPLINE FOR OVERCHARGING   | 19             |
|    | Some overcharging cases from the UK and Australia   |                |
|    | and a 100% success fee  Jofa Ltd v Bernherst Finance Ltd – overcharging instructing solicitors.  Solicitors Regulation Authority v De Vita – overcharging by 1,948%  Law Society of NSW v Berger (No 1) – charging for phoning an elderly client to chat  CHECKLIST FOR AVOIDANCE OR MITIGATION OF FEE COMPLAINTS | 20<br>21       |
| 7. |   |                |